28

| 1 | Robert J. Cassity | |
|----|--|---|
| 2 | Nevada Bar No. 9779 Erica C. Medley | |
| | Nevada Bar No. 13959 | |
| 3 | HOLLAND & HART LLP 9555 Hillwood Drive, 2nd Floor | |
| 4 | Las Vegas, NV 89134 Phone: 702.669.4600 | |
| 5 | Fax: 702.669.4650 bcassity@hollandhart.com | |
| 6 | ecmedley@hollandhart.com | |
| 7 | David A. Perez (pro hac vice) | |
| 8 | PERKINS COIE LLP 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900 | |
| 9 | Seattle, WA 98101-3099 Phone: 206.359.6767 | |
| 10 | Fax: 206.359.7767 | |
| | | |
| 11 | Matthew J. Mertens (pro hac vice) PERKINS COIE LLP | |
| 12 | 1120 N.W. Couch Street 10th Floor Portland, OR 97209-4128 | |
| 13 | Phone: 503.727.2199 Fax 503.346.2199 | |
| 14 | mmertens@perkinscoie.com | |
| 15 | Attorneys for Defendants | |
| 16 | Jeff Moss and DEF CON Communications, Inc. | |
| 17 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 18 | DISTRICT | OF NEVADA |
| 19 | CHRISTOPHER J. HADNAGY, an individual; and SOCIAL-ENGINEER, LLC, a | Case No.: 2:23-cv-01345 |
| 20 | Pennsylvania limited liability company, | STIPULATION FOR STAY OF |
| | Plaintiffs, | DISCOVERY PENDING |
| 21 | v. | RESOLUTION OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS (ECF NO. 13) |
| 22 | JEFF MOSS, an individual; DEF CON | AND MOTION TO TRANSFER (ECF NO. 15) |
| 23 | COMMUNICATIONS, INC., a Washington corporation; and DOES 1-10; and ROE | , |
| 24 | ENTITIES 1-10, inclusive, | (First Request) |
| 25 | Defendants. | |
| 26 | Plaintiffs Christopher Hadnagy and Social-Engineer LLC ("Plaintiffs") by and through | |
| 27 | their counsel of record, Riklis Law, PLLC and Defendants Jeff Moss and Def Con | |

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Communications ("Defendants") by and through their counsel of record, Holland & Hart LLP and Perkins Coie LLP, hereby stipulate as follows:

- 1. Plaintiffs filed their Complaint in the Eighth Judicial District Court in and for Clark County, Nevada, Case No. A-23-875618-C on August 9, 2023. See ECF No. 1.
- 2. Defendants accepted service of the Complaint in Las Vegas, Nevada on August 10, 2023.
- 3. Defendants filed their Notice of Removal on Tuesday, August 29, 2023. ECF No. 1.
- 4. Pursuant to an Order Granting Stipulation for Extension of Time to Respond to Complaint (ECF No. 9), Plaintiffs agreed to provide Defendants with an extension of time to respond to the Complaint in order to accommodate the travel schedules of Defendants' case team. Accordingly, Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss on October 2, 2023. See ECF No. 13.
- 5. Defendants' Motion to Dismiss seeks dismissal of Plaintiffs' Complaint pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(2) for lack of personal jurisdiction and pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted as to all claims asserted in the Complaint. *See generally* ECF No. 13.
- 6. Defendants filed a Motion to Transfer Venue to the Federal District Court of Western Washington on October 16, 2023. See generally ECF No. 15.
- 7. Prior to and at a preliminary conference between the parties pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), Defendants requested a stipulation to stay discovery and expressed their intent to file a motion to stay discovery pending the resolution of the foregoing Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Transfer Venue, ECF Nos. 13 and 15 (Defendants' "Motions"). Plaintiffs originally declined to stipulate to a temporary stay of discovery due to Plaintiffs' desire to avoid further delay in proceeding into discovery. After deliberations between the parties, Plaintiffs agreed to the instant stipulation for a temporary stay of discovery and compliance with Local Rule 26-1 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) in order to avoid additional motion practice before the Court. Specifically, the Parties agree to temporarily stay discovery in this matter until the Court adjudicates Defendants' Motions.

- 8. The parties also held preliminary discussions regarding topics mandated for discussion under Fed. R. Civ. Pr. Rule 26(f) including the scope and scale of discovery in this case. The parties have conducted initial investigations in this matter as well as in the previously filed iteration in the Federal District Court of Pennsylvania; in light of the same, no parties foresee the need to go beyond the maximum number of Interrogatories and depositions prescribed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The parties have discussed and agreed that all fact and expert discovery (including written discovery and depositions) can be completed within one hundred and eighty (180) days from receipt of an Order ruling on Defendants' Motions.
- 9. Additionally, the parties have agreed to serve their initial disclosures pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) on or before November 21, 2023.
- 10. In addition, during the pendency of the stay of discovery, the parties have agreed to negotiate terms of a stipulated protective order and a protocol governing discovery of electronically stored information.
- The parties further agree to submit a proposed discovery plan and scheduling 11. order in compliance with Local Rule 26-1(b) within 14 days after receipt of an Order denying Defendants' pending Motions.¹

///

///

18 19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27

28

¹ Defendants reserve their rights to seek further relief in the event the Court does not deny Defendants' Motion to Dismiss in its entirety.

| - 1 | | | |
|----------|--|---|--|
| 1 | 12. Accordingly, the parties have agreed to stipulate to temporarily stay discovery i | | |
| 2 | this matter pending the Court's adjudication of Defendants' Motions, and to allow the parties to | | |
| 3 | submit their Proposed Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order pursuant to LR 26-1 within 14 days | | |
| 4 | after the Court's adjudication of Defendants' pending Motions. | | |
| 5 | DATED this 17th day of November 2023. | DATED this 17th day of November 2023. | |
| 6 | HOLLAND & HART LLP | RIKLIS LAW, PLLC | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | /s/ Robert J. Cassity Robert J. Cassity | /s/ Kristofer Z. Riklis Kristofer Z. Riklis, Esq. | |
| 9 | Erica C. Medley 9555 Hillwood Drive, 2nd Floor Las Vegas, NV 89134 | Nevada Bar No. 14754 871 Coronado Center Dr., Suite 200 Henderson, NV 89052 | |
| 10 | David A. Perez (pro hac vice) | Attorneys for Plaintiffs | |
| 11 12 | PERKINS COIE LLP 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900 Seattle, WA 98101-3099 | Christopher J. Hadnagy and Social-Engineer, LLC | |
| 13 | Matthew J. Mertens (pro hac vice) | | |
| 14 | PERKINS COIE LLP 1120 N.W. Couch Street Tenth Floor Portland, OR 97209-4128 | | |
| 15 | Attorneys for Defendants | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | <u>ORDER</u> | | |
| 19 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | U.S. DISTRICT/MAGISTRATE JUDGE | |
| 22 | | U.S. DISTRICT/MAGISTRATE JUDGE | |
| 23 | | Dated: | |
| 24 | 30836662_v1 | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |